Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,242	RAGHAVAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LAWRENCE B. WILLIAMS	2611
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWANCE</u>		
(1) <u>LAWRENCE B. WILLIAMS</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>BRUCE W. GREENHAUS</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>6 May 2009</u>	Time: <u>1:28 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 7, 38, 45		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Lawrence B Williams/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed support for "recover a plurality of bits synchronously distributed across the plurality of transmission bands" as presented in the independent claims. Applicant points to Fig. 2A and paragraph [0045], transmitter systems 210-1 thru 210-P synchronized to a transmit source clock signal; paragraph [0047], bit allocation block uses same reference clock signal. Applicant also points to paragraph [0051] for additional support.